

(MR. SPEAKER.)

Govinda Reddy, I will give my ruling in the afternoon soon after we assemble after lunch.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE.

Sri J. Mohamed Imam (Jagalur).—What about the programme for future Session?

MR. SPEAKER.—I will consult the Leader of the House and the Leader of the opposition Party and announce it if possible this afternoon or tomorrow.

INCORRECT REPORT IN A LOCAL DAILY PAPER.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldurg).—I would like to draw the attention of the Speaker to a report published in the Indian Express which states that the Mysore Legislative Assembly today unanimously adopted a Special Resolution appealing to the Parliament and the Government of India not to reopen the settled question of Bellary Taluk. This Andhra Bill has not been moved in this House and this report is misleading and mischievous. I would therefore request the Speaker to take such action as is necessary.

MR. SPEAKER.—Instead of saying 'Legislative Council' they have printed wrongly. That is what I guess. Anyhow, I will go through it.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE MYSORE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FOR 1951-52.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—As required by clause (2) of article 323 of the Constitution of India, I lay on the Table the Annual Report of the Mysore Public Service Commission for the year 1951-52 with a Memorandum from the Government.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 3) BILL, 1953.

Introduction.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—I beg to introduce the Mysore Appropriation (No 3) Bill, 1953, which was published in the *Mysore Gazette* Extraordinary dated 17th July 1953 under rule 48 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.

Motion to consider.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1953, be taken into consideration."

MR. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1953, be taken into consideration."

*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—We had no occasion to apply our minds to some of the demands which are charged items on the consolidated fund of the State. We have expressed our opinion regarding such items as are subjected to the voting of this House. Now the net result of all this is that we are asked to vote a sum of nearly Rs. 96 lakhs which will be an extra expenditure and drain on the finances of the State. We have impressed on the Government the necessity of effecting retrenchment in all branches of the department and even last time at the Budget Session, myself and my friend Sri Pattabhiraman were very particular that a Committee should be appointed to go through the various departments and suggest measures for bringing down the expenditure and the Chief Minister was pleased to state at that time that he would consider this seriously and till now we find that no steps have been taken.

Another point I want to urge is this: We are asked for an increased expenditure of Rs. 96 lakhs excluding adjustable items and it was open to them to include all these items at the time of the budget discussion and at the time when the main demands were